IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O. O. C. J.

WRIT PETITION NO.1461 OF 2006

The Leela & Ors. ..Petitioners.

Vs.

Bharat Singh Madhu Singh & Ors. ..Respondents.

....

Mrs. S.S. Deshpande i/b M/s. Haresh Mehta & Co. for the Petitioners.

Mr. I.A. Engineer for Respondent No.1.

....

CORAM: **DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.**

8th March, 2007.

P.C. :

On 27th February, 2006 learned counsel appearing for the parties urged submissions before the Court at the hearing and final disposal of the Writ Petition. The judgment was dictated in the open Court immediately after the hearing was concluded. Before the judgment was transcribed, a joint precipe dated 1st March, 2007 was submitted to the Prothonotary & Senior Master by the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and for the First Respondent respectively stating that parties have settled the dispute and are desirous of filing Consent Terms. Accordingly on

5th March, 2007 the Petition was directed to be placed on Board today. The First Respondent is present before the Court in person together with his counsel. The Petitioners are also represented before the Court by counsel and an authorized representative who is present before the Court. The Court is informed that parties have settled the entire dispute. Consent Terms signed by an authorized signatory on behalf of the Petitioners and by the First Respondent as well as by the respective advocates are placed on record and are marked as 'X'. Since the dispute has been settled between the parties, it is only appropriate and proper that the judgment which was dictated in the open Court but has still not been transcribed and which consequently has not been signed is recalled. There shall be an order accordingly. The Petition shall in these circumstances stand disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.